

Coastal Guide ICZM Information System

1. Key data

Project title:	Territorial co-ordination scheme for the harbour system and coast of the Gulf of Naples - "Posidonia"
Country:	Italy
Project phase:	prep. / study / planning / implementation / no progress
Launch:	1997
National funding:	[periods, in euros]
EC-funding:	[periods, in euros]
EC project references:	Terra Programme / Posidonia n°55/Naples.
Lead partner:	Naples Provincial Authority
Project contact:	Mr Giulio Rossi Crespi (Project manager) or Ms Carmela Cotrone (Technical director) Naples Provincial Authority 293 via dei Tribunali 80138 Napoli Italy Tel.: +39-81-5520552 and +39-335-217163 Fax: +39-81-5512575 and +39-81-292028 Email: c.cotrone@regione.campania.it
Project website:	[URL]
Project area:	Napoli
Area size (land):	[in hectare]
(water):	[in hectare, or yes/no]
Population size:	
Policy background:	The Gulf of Naples is an urban centre where all the activities and problems that can exist in coastal zones are concentrated: congestion, industrial decline, intensive tourism, pollution, abandonment and deterioration of the natural and cultural heritage, potential in jobs and wealth. On top of all this it is in one of the most dangerous volcanic areas in the world.
Important sectors in the project set-up:	Tourism, Public Health, Transport & Accessibility, Ports & Marine Industry, Landscapes & Cultural Heritage, Public Access, Pollution, Water management
Main aim:	to implement a "cohesion plan" for the Naples coast, as part of the "Territorial Co-ordination Plan"; this management plan, at institutional and administrative level, is the most important planning document for the metropolitan area. The aim is to draw up the Plan following the procedures and regulations governing co-operation, partnership and co-operation between public and private operators, with a view to harmonising the public bodies' territorial programmes and sectoral strategies and at the same time encouraging all private projects to adopt policies of sustainable development.
Expected results:	

2. Collaboration & integration

Objectives re collaboration & integration:

Comparative analysis of the planning strategies of the five partner cities: Athens, Barcelona, Naples, Palermo and Taranto, provides each city with extra potential by creating the necessary

basis for improving administrative procedures and fine tuning environmental policies.

Ministries formally involved*:

Regions (county, province) formally involved*:

[names]

Municipalities (towns) formally involved*:

[names]

Problems encountered:

Main achievements:

3. Participation

Objectives re participation:

Environment stakeholders formally involved*: yes / no

Economic development stakeholders formally involved*: yes / no

Public hearings: [number]

Public access to project data: yes / no

Main achievements:

Problems encountered:

4. Information

Objectives re environment:

Main achievements:

Problems encountered:

5. Policy aspects, relevance and success:

Legal Jurisdictional issues:

Project objectives:

Main achievements:

Problems encountered:

Environment:

Project objectives:

Main achievements:

Problems encountered:

Biodiversity conservation:

Project objectives:

Main achievements:

Problems encountered:

Economic development:

Project objectives:

Main achievements:

Problems encountered:

The threat presented by Mt. Vesuvias is a central concern for Napoli. Land use siting and particularly transport decisions, must ensure adequate evacuation options.

Spatial planning & zoning:

Project objectives:

solve all problems concerning industrial decline, intensive tourism, job loss, deterioration of the natural and cultural heritage and pollution.

Main achievements:

Problems encountered:

Rationalization of the transportation network is seen as a key element in restructuring and stimulation this region

Coastal defence:

Project objectives:

Main achievements:

Problems encountered:

Understanding the way in which Italy's deltas have developed in response to human population levels (through cycles of erosion

and accretion) might have helped prevent some of the inappropriate development and with it the need for costly coastal defense structures and in some cases loss of expensive infrastructure.

6. Progress & Continuity

Follow-up:

No progress since: [month, year]

Main reason for lack of progress:

7. Sources

Project Report online: [attached in MS word or PDF]

*) formally involved means: as a project partner or represented in a project committee.

Bibliography:

Project Web site, if available

European Commission, *Better management of coastal resources, A European programme for integrated coastal zone management*, 1997

European Commission, *Lessons from the European Commission's demonstration programme on integrated coastal zone management*, 1999

European Commission, *Towards a European Integrated Coastal Zone Management Strategy: General Principles and Policy Options*, 1999

Thematic Study A, *Legal and Regulatory Bodies: Appropriateness to ICZM*, 1999

Thematic Study B, *Participation in the ICZM Processes: Mechanisms and Procedures Needed*, 1999

Thematic Study C, *Role and use of Technology in Relation to ICZM*, 1999

Thematic Study D, *Planning and Management Processes: Sectoral and Territorial Co-operation*, 1999

Thematic Study F, *Information required for Integrated Coastal Zone Management*, 1999